

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/055,547	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TRAVERSAT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ranodhi Serrao	<b>Art Unit</b> 2141	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ranodhi Serrao.

(2) Robert C. Kowert, Reg. No. 39,255.

**Status of Application: Allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 4 September 2007

**Time:** 2pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*35 U.S.C. 103 and 35 U.S.C. 112*

Claims discussed:

*2, 13, 28, 39, 45, 46, 52, 62, 71, 79, 86, 91, 98, 44 and 87*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Rochberger et al. (6,456,600) and Weisman et al. (2002/0112058)*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment to incorporate the limitations of dependent claims 44 and 87 into independent claims 39, 86, and 98 in order to advance the prosecution of the application. The Applicant's Representative agreed.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Ranodhi Serrao  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)